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ABSTRACT

The San Andreas fault cuts through Toms Point, located on the northeastern edge of Tomales Bay, where active fault strands were observed following the great 1906 San Francisco earthquake. Tomales Bay, approximately 80 km north of San Francisco, is a linear depression created by the San Andreas fault.

Toms Point consists of Franciscan Complex basement rock overlain by a nearly 20-m-thick sequence of coastal sediments of the Millerton Formation. The Millerton Formation is estimated by thermoluminescence dating to have an age of 152,712 ka.

Fracture and fault data measured within the Millerton Formation at Toms Point were used to correlate features observed on the northwest side with features from the southeast side and to estimate the rate of stress along the San Andreas fault.

Cross sections of the northwest and southeast sides demonstrate the continuity of sediments through the Toms Point headland. Fault strands which are closely exposed on the northwest side of Toms Point are covered on the southeast side, and their continuity is inferred by a linear topographic low along the crest of the headland which projects into a covered interval on the southeast side.

Fracture data collected at Toms Point are consistent with the rate of stress measured at other locations along the San Andreas fault. Some fractures are extension fractures with planar structures, and others are consistent with R and K' Reidel shears, at ± 45° from the direction of the maximum principal stress. Conjugate fractures in the youngest sediments at Toms Point may record a local extensional event, possibly caused by a right stepover between fault strands.

INTRODUCTION

Many features associated with the San Andreas fault (SAF) were first observed near Point Reyes. Large offsets from the 1906 San Francisco earthquake were documented throughout the area; the maximum horizontal offset of nearly 5 m was measured near the town of Olema (Fig. 1). At the Toms Point study area, located only 1 km southeast of Olema and 1 km east of Point Reyes, middle Miocene Miocene turbidite sandstones, southern San Joaquin valley, California: American Association of Petroleum Geologists Bulletin v. 65, p. 438-465.


horizontal offset of nearly 2.5 m was measured by Lawson (1908).

Toms Point is located along the northeastern edge of Tomales Bay (Fig. 2). Tomales Bay lies approximately 80 km north of San Francisco and is a linear depression whose trend coincides with the SAF. At Tomales Bay, the SAF juxtaposes the Franciscan Complex with plutonic and metamorphic rock of the Salinian terrain. Toms Point consists of Franciscan basement rock overlain by the Pleistocene Millerton Formation, which is found in scattered outcrops along the eastern edge of Tomales Bay (Fig. 2).

Toms Point, a small headland bisected by the SAF (Fig. 3), is of particular geologic interest because the cliffs on the northwest side offer a direct view of active fault traces, previously mapped as two en echelon segments (Brown and Wolfe, 1970, Blake et al., 1974).

This report presents fault and fracture data that are used to interpret the deformation visible at Toms Point and to correlate exposures on the northwest and southeast sides of the headland. A cross section of the exposures on the southeast side was interpreted in combination with a cross section of the northwest exposures from Rutledge (1990). Fracture orientations were collected with a Brunton compass and plotted using a stereonet plotting program (Allmendinger, 1995). The relationships of fault strands, their topographic expressions, and the stresses inferred from fracture orientations help to understand the deformation at Toms Point in the context of the geologic setting of coastal California.

STRATIGRAPHY OF THE MILLERTON FORMATION

Toms Point is the location of one of a few exposures of the Millerton Formation along the eastern edge of Tomales Bay. These exposures, which occur at Tomales Point, Millerton Point, and Toms Point, are erosional remnants of the Millerton Formation (Fig. 2). The Millerton Formation at Toms Point forms a south-plunging anticline, with the SAF parallel to its axis (Fig. 3).
rounded. Clasts are largely of Franciscan origin, but some were derived from Salinian granite.

The mud and muddy sand were deposited in an estuarine or tidal environment. The gravel is fluvial in origin, and was deposited at times of lower sea level. Several angular unconformities separate transgressive sequences that fine upward from river gravel to estuarine mud. Unconformably overlying these sequences are massive dune sand deposits.

THE SAN ANDREAS FAULT

The SAF zone is typically up to several km wide, and is composed of many parallel strands (Wallace, 1980). The maximum principal stress for the SAF is nearly perpendicular to the fault, at approximately 030°, producing fault-normal compression in western California (Zoback et al., 1987). This component of compression is responsible for much of the deformation and raised topography along the California

The Millerton Formation consists of coastal sediments that were deposited at 132 ± 12 ka (Grove et al., 1995) in estuarine, fluvial, and coastal environments. Mud, muddy sand, sand and gravel make up the Millerton Formation. The mud layers are gray and highly fractured. Some of the mud is sandy and laminated. Sand in the estuary sequences is plane bedded and contains some mud, and is more consolidated than the younger massive dune sand. The massive sand consists of well-sorted, well-rounded, fine- to medium-sized quartz and feldspar grains. The sand is beige where unweathered, but weathers to yellow or dark orange where close to the overlying soil. The weathering pattern is uneven, perhaps because of permeability differences where the sediment has been bioturbated by plant roots and animal burrowing. Gravel layers have lenticular shapes and are internally cross-bedded. The gravel has a matrix of orange-weathered sand with some clay. The clasts are of variable size, from pebbles to cobbles, and are well-

Figure 1. Location map, from Grove et al., 1995. SAF=San Andreas fault.

Figure 2. Generalized geologic map for the Tomales Bay region. Geology adapted from Galloway (1979).

FAULT DATA AND INTERPRETATION

Figure 3 shows the locations of cross sections and inferred fault strands on Toms Point. On the northwest side of Toms Point, three major fault strands are visible. In contrast, the faults are covered by vegetation and slump deposits on the southeast side. Descriptions of the outcrops on either side of the headland follow.

Northwest Side

Three strands of the San Andreas fault are exposed on the northwest side of Toms Point. Figure 3 shows the location of the easternmost and westernmost strands in map view. Figure 4, adapted from Rutledge (1992), shows how sediments are deformed between these strands.

At the northeast end of this cross section lie mud, sand, and gravel of the Millerton Formation in a sequence very similar to the sedimentary sequence seen on the southeast side of Toms Point. To the northeast,
not shown on Figure 4, are massive dune sands which unconformably overlie the fluvial and eolian sequences and which are also exposed on the southeast side of Toms Point. Pervasively sheared mud marks the northeasternmost strand of the SAF on the northwest side of Toms Point. Just east of this strand is an angular unconformity between gravel beds of different ages. Nearly vertical beds deformed by a small-scale thrust fault lie between the southwestern two fault strands (Fig. 4). There is a peat bed in the sand which is not found to the northeast and southwest of this fault block. After rainfall, there is an active spring at the location of the middle strand.

Immediately southwest of the peat bed is a mudflow and a gully which has an orientation of approximately 325°, close to the observed trend of the SAF. This is a third strand of the fault. Southwest of the mudflow is a fining-upward sequence of the Millerton Formation that is truncated against the southwesternmost fault strand. The mud contains a shell layer (Fig. 4).

On the top of the Toms Point headland, between the fault traces seen on the northwest side, is a small sag pond, which was described by Lawson et al. (1989). The sag pond could indicate extension related to a right stepover of the fault (Brown et al., 1970; Blake et al., 1974), although it is at least as likely that the sediments here are weakened and gouged by faulting and simply erode away more readily.

Figure 4. Cross-section of northwest side of Toms Point headland from A to A' of Figure 3, adapted from Rutledge (1993). View is to the southeast.

Southeast Side

Figure 5 is a cross section which runs southwest to northeast from B to B' on the southeast side of Toms Point. Cliff exposures are shown in the cross section, which covered areas between them. At location B, the sediments dip gently to the northeast at approximately 30°. There is a mud layer at the base of the cliff which is underlain by a thick massive sand layer. Between the two outcrops at B and B', there is a depression approximately 110 m wide. There is a spring and lush vegetation in this depression, which may indicate that the sediments are gouged and weakened by faulting.

At location B' of Figure 3, the sediments dip to the northeast nearly 20° more steeply than the outcrop at location B. The fining-upward gravel-to-mud sequence visible on the southeast side is the same sequence exposed on the eastern end of the cliff on the northeast side. Like that sequence, the base is an angular unconformity with more steeply dipping beds of older sequence beneath. Southwest of location B' there is heavy vegetation, and the only sediments exposed are gravels which yield little structural information. Fault strands exposed on the northwest side project into these covered gravels southwest of location B' (Figs. 3 and 5).

FRACtURE DATA AND INTERPRETATION

Fracture orientations collected at Toms Point fall into several categories. They are primarily extensional, R, and R' fractures. Fractures exhibiting plumose structures were categorized as extension fractures, and fractures that lacked indicators of motion were categorized as R and R' shear fractures based on their orientation.

Fractures measured at Toms Point show stresses that are consistent with the state of stress in a strike-slip system, in which $\sigma_1$ and $\sigma_3$ are horizontal, while $\sigma_2$ is vertical, according to Anderson's theory (Twiss and Moores, 1992).

Figure 6 is a stereonet of theoretical fracture orientations relative to the SAF at Toms Point, which strikes at 325°. Rediel shears are conjugate sets of faults fractures which form by the process of simple shear. The R shears are synthetic and occur at about 15° from the direction of the imposed shear; they are shown on Figure 6 at 340°. R' shears are antithetic and fall at about 75° from the direction of imposed shear. They are shown at 040°. R and R' shear data from Toms Point show that the local stress configuration varies from the theoretical configuration. The Rediel shears appear to be rotated about 20° clockwise from the theoretical model. Rediel shears are bisected by $\sigma_2$, so the fractures from Toms Point (indicating that $\sigma_2$ also lies at a greater angle from the imposed shear direction. As will be shown below, at Toms Point, fractures with plumose structures occur at approximately 050°, which is parallel to $\sigma_2$. While this stress configuration is different from the theoretical configuration, it is consistent with the state of stress along the San Andreas fault, where $\sigma_2$ is observed to be perpendicular to the fault (Zoback et al., 1987).
Fault traces seen on northwest side project to this location
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Figure 5. Cross-section of southeast side of Toms Point Headland, from B to B' of Figure 3. View is to the northwest.

Fracture Data

Most of the fractures at location 1 fall into two populations which are separated by approximately 90° (Fig. 7), suggesting they are R and R' shear fractures. There are two extension fractures, which bisect the populations of R and R' fractures at approximately 050°. The extension fractures lie parallel to σ3 at 050°.

At location 2, plunge structures were observed on an extension fracture striking at 050° (Fig. 8). The other fractures at this location are interpreted as K or R' fractures. The R-shears strike close to north-south. The R'-shears strike closer to east-west. The state of stress inferred from these data is similar to that of location 1, with σ3 at 050°, parallel to the extension fracture and bisecting the populations of R- and R'-shears.

Beds at location 3 of Figure 3 are separated from the beds at location 4 by a topographic low and a spring. They dip more steeply than beds at location 4, at an average of 51° NE (Fig. 9). There are two conjugate fracture sets that were measured at Location 3 and are interpreted as R and R' shear fractures. The conjugate fractures are bisected by σ3, which lies at approximately 050°. In spite of the relatively steep dip of the beds at this location, the orientations of conjugate fractures are consistent with orientations found at locations 1 and 2, where the beds dip more gently, suggesting that both the folding of the Millerton Formation into an antiformal and the fracturing of the sediments are products of fault-normal compression.

Fractures at location 4 of Figure 3 were collected in massive dune sand on the southeast side of Toms Point which overlies older filling-upwards sequences. These fractures are possibly younger than fractures measured at other locations on Toms Point. They are separated by about 60° and are interpreted as conjugate pairs (Fig. 10). The fractures suggest a stress state with σ3 vertical, and σ1 and σ2 horizontal. According to Anderson's theory, this stress state is associated with normal faulting and is incompatible with the state of stress in a strike-slip system except as a local perturbation due to fault-strain geometry. The extension recorded by these fractures differs from the findings at other locations on Toms Point and could represent a component of tension where two right-lateral strike-slip faults make a right stepover. These fractures occur near a northwest-trending depression that could be the topographic expression of extension associated with a right stepover. However, exposures are not complete enough to be certain of this interpretation.

CONCLUSIONS

Exposures at Toms Point provide rare cross-sectional views of the SAF and sediments deformed by fault motions. There are three fault strands on Toms Point which can be seen clearly on the northwest side. Faults projected along strike from their exposures on the northwest side coincide with a topographic depression on the surface of the headland and with a covered interval on the southeast side.

Fractures measured at Toms Point largely fall into patterns which fit the expected state of stress for the San Andreas fault in western California. The majority of fractures are interpreted as R and R' Reidel shear fractures or extension fractures. The extension fractures strike at 050° and bisect the R and R' shear fractures. Thus the inferred principal stress direction at Toms Point from the fracture data is nearly perpendicular to the SAF and consistent with the state of stress measured at other locations along the fault. In the youngest sediments there are fracture orientations that suggest local normal faulting. One explanation for the evidence of extension at location 4 may be that it resulted from a right stepover of an echelon fault system.
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Figure 10. Fracture orientations for location 4 of Figure 3.
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